

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/601,891	UEKI, YASUSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary Estremsky	3676	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** allow

 (1) Gary Estremsky.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Manabu Kanesaka.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 August 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*An Examiner's Amendment (attached) was agreed to that was consistent with Applicant's arguments and placed Application into condition for allowance, where Applicant's arguments filed with the Response regarding claim 7 are persuasive.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)